

Basic familiarity with Heroku is assumed.

### Howdy I'm Mårten Gustafson

I'm Mårten



- My speaker bio says I work at Plan 3, but we're actually a part of Schibsted
- (Plan 3 was an internal startup within Schibsted)
- · I serve as the tech lead for one of our internal software platforms



• Why did we migrate from virtualised servers to Heroku?



- Heroku seemed to be the easiest and most mature PaaS platform
- Our codebase was already split up into separate components (but with weird interdependencies)

#### Architecture 12 factor compliance

- The 12 factor methodology (<u>12factor.net</u>) on how to build services is derived from Heroku
- A system built in accordance with 12 factor has high portability
- Using Heroku mostly guarantees compliance with 12 factor (without thinking about it)
- · Migrating to Heroku forced us to clean up our code base



- The team does all ops and infra work
- Everyone participates in on-call rotation

### Our setup



- The system I is made up of around 25 individual services (1 staging & 1 prod = 50 apps)
- This is a simplified (and anonymised) solution diagram
- Each black box is a service running on Heroku
- Coloured boxes represent bounded contexts (domain driven design)
- This is developed and operated by a team of 20 spread across Oslo, Kraków & Stockholm



- · Initially we ran everything on virtualised servers which we managed ourselves
- In two years we've been through three hosting environments for production



- First experiments in November 2013
- Then gradual migration and refactoring on a per service basis
- Finally terminated the old servers September 2014 after finally pushing through and migrating the most intertwined service (and refactoring it substantially)



- Github for source code
- Bronson.io code reviews our pull requests (and comments inline on Github)
- Travis for continuous integration
- Every successful build on *master* is deployed by Travis to the staging app on Heroku



- Github for source code
- Bronson.io code reviews our pull requests (and comments inline on Github)
- Travis for continuous integration
- Every successful build on *master* is deployed by Travis to the staging app on Heroku



- Github for source code
- Bronson.io code reviews our pull requests (and comments inline on Github)
- Travis for continuous integration
- Every successful build on master is deployed by Travis to the staging app on Heroku



- Github for source code
- Bronson.io code reviews our pull requests (and comments inline on Github)
- Travis for continuous integration
- Every successful build on *master* is deployed by Travis to the staging app on Heroku



- Github for source code
- Bronson.io code reviews our pull requests (and comments inline on Github)
- Travis for continuous integration
- Every successful build on *master* is deployed by Travis to the staging app on Heroku



- Github for source code
- Bronson.io code reviews our pull requests (and comments inline on Github)
- Travis for continuous integration
- Every successful build on *master* is deployed by Travis to the staging app on Heroku



- Github for source code
- Bronson.io code reviews our pull requests (and comments inline on Github)
- Travis for continuous integration
- Every successful build on *master* is deployed by Travis to the staging app on Heroku



- Github for source code
- Bronson.io code reviews our pull requests (and comments inline on Github)
- Travis for continuous integration
- Every successful build on *master* is deployed by Travis to the staging app on Heroku



- Github for source code
- Bronson.io code reviews our pull requests (and comments inline on Github)
- Travis for continuous integration
- Every successful build on *master* is deployed by Travis to the staging app on Heroku

## Learnings

# **Organizations**Organisation == Environment

- · This allows us to separate Heroku organisation admins between environments
- Every service has 2 Heroku applications, (1 in stage org, 1 in prod org)
- Organizations also solves billing (allows individuals to experiment with paid add-ons etc)



• Use at least 2 web dynos combined with Heroku Preboot to get zero downtime deployments

Add-Ons per-app per-env control

- Might be more expensive than central storage etc
- Storage and tooling isolation and freedom
- Self administration
- Per app per environment scaling (and thus pricing per app per org)
- · Performance testing shows cost impact immediately



- Heroku fits perfectly with our autonomous and decentralised organisation (enforce 2FA)
- Everyone can create new apps, tweak existing ones
- Allows rapid prototyping and experimentation (in a production like setting)
- Proof of concepts are trivial to "promote" to production (+1 app & tweak add-ons) or toss (delete app)



- Use the Heroku pipeline feature
- We never push code to production, instead it's always promoted from staging
- This forces us to have externalised all environment specific configuration from the app itself (as per the 12 factor manifesto)



You can always circumvent this with manual push'es (branch deployment)

٠



- Heroku provides audit trail (deploys and configuration changes)
- Heroku provides basic runtime metrics
- Simple integration with chat for visibility into deploys (both staging & prod)

## Challenges



- We have one domain for stage and one for production
- Services are named the same in both domains (simple and self-explanatory)

#### Auto-scaling API vs add-ons

- · The hard part is deciding on what criteria/metric to scale
- · We don't currently use any auto-scaling

### **Provisioning** terraform.io

- Currently we do this manually and with shell scripts (Heroku command client)
- Terraform would allow automatic provisioning across Heroku & other services (AWS Route 53)

### **SSL certificates**

manual vs add-ons

- Not fun manually managing and deploying wild card certificates across 50 services
- We're evaluating an add-on (*Expedited SSL*)
- Pros: Simple provisioning & automatic renewal
- Cons: Much more expensive than buying 1 wild card cert and reusing that

#### **Questions?** marten@plan3.se

• Thanks, questions?



- I have this idea that most organisations could use a PaaS such as Heroku as their development environment as it would give...
  - ...developers free reign to a self-service environment (fosters experimentation)
  - ...cost/budget transparency (fosters responsibility)
  - ...enforces good solution design and portability (using carrot rather than stick)